ABSTRACT

# GENETIC VARIABILITY STUDIES IN CHILLI (*Capsicum annuum* L.) IN MID HILLY REGIONS OF UTTARAKHAND

# SILARU RAGHUVEER<sup>1</sup>, SATISH CHANDRA PANT<sup>2</sup>\*, RAJENDRA SINGH CHAUHAN<sup>1</sup>, PANKAJ BAHUGUNA<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Spices Plantation, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops.VCSG UUHF, Pauri Garhwal, Bharsar-246123. <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, VCSG UUHF, Pauri garhwal, Bharsar - 246123. <sup>3</sup>Department of Basic Sciences and Humanities, VCSG UUHF, Pauri Garhwal, Bharsar-246123. e-mail: drscpant@gmail.com

#### **KEYWORDS**

Capsicum annuum L. PCV GCV chilli

**Received on :** 18.07.2017

Accepted on : 17.04.2018

\*Corresponding author

# **INTRODUCTION**

Chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) a member of the Solanaceae family, originated from South and Central America. Chilli is an indispensable spice due to its pungency, taste, appealing colour and flavour and has its unique place in the diet as a vegetable cum spice crop (Gadaginmath, 1992). The alkaloid capsaicin present in placenta of the chilli fruit responsible for its pungency has diverse prophylactic and therapeutic uses in Allopathic and Ayurvedic medicine (Sumathy and Mathew, 1984) and can directly scavenge various free radicals (Bhattacharya et al., 2010). Chilli is a good source of vitamin C (ascorbic acid) and is used in food and beverage industries (Bosland and Votava, 2000). It has also acquired a great importance because of the presence of 'oleoresin', which permits better distribution of colour and flavour in foods. In India, the area under chilli cultivation is 2, 38,000 hectares with an annual production of 1.492 million tonnes and productivity of 2,39,2000 MT/ha. India is the largest producer, consumer and exporter of chilli in the world (National Horticulture Board, 2016-17). Andhra Pradesh leads the country in its production, productivity and export followed by Karnataka, West Bengal, Madhya Pradesh and Orissa. The productivity of the crop is low due to many limiting factor ssuch as lack of superior genotypes or improved cultivars foruse in breeding programme to develop potential hybrids. So, there is need for development of new varieties and hybrids with high productivity. The critical assessment of nature and magnitude of variability in the germplasm stock is one of the important pre-requisites for formulating effective breeding

among the genotypes for all the traits studied indicating the presence of sufficient variability in the studied material. The GCV was higher than PCV and the difference between PCV and GCV was narrow for most of the characters revealing little influence of the environment in the expression of these traits. High magnitude of PCV and GCV were observed for all the traits studied except plant stem girth (9.74, 12.18), days to first flowering (5.10, 5.84), days to 50% flowering (3.2, 4.30) and capsaicin content (3.20, 4.18). High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for all the characters except plant height(34.64), plant stem girth (63.87), days to first flowering (76.24), days to 50% flowering (55.23) and capsaicin content (58.69) indicating the predominance of additive gene action making the simple selection more effective.

The present investigation was carried out during Kharif 2016 at College of Horticulture, Bharsar with 19

genotypes of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) in a Randomized Block Design with 3 replications to estimate the

genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for 24 traits. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences

methods (Krishna et al., 2007). Improvement in any crop is proportional to the magnitude of its genetic variability presentin germplasm. Greater the variability in a population, there are the greater chance for effective selection for desirable types(Vavilov, 1951). Heritability is the portion of phenotypic variation which is transmitted from parent to progeny. Higher the heritable variation, greater will be the possibility of fixing the characters by selection. Hence, heritability studies are offore most importance to judge whether the observed variation for a particular character is due to genotype or due to environment. Heritability estimates may not provide clear predictability of the breeding value. Thus, estimation of heritability accompanied with genetic advance is generally more useful than heritability alone in prediction of the resultant effect for selecting the best individuals (Johnson et al., 1955). Therefore, the present investigation was carried out with aview to study the genetic variability, heritability and geneticadvance for yield and yield component characters. As the information on the nature and magnitude of variability for yield and other characters present in germplasm pool owing to genetic and non-genetic causes, is an important basic prerequisite for starting any systematic breeding programme in identifying superior lines or varieties, an investigation was undertaken involving 19 genotypes of chilli.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out with 19 genotypes (Table 1) of chilli at College of Horticulture, Bharsar and is located between 290 20'-290 75' N latitude and 780 10'-780 80' E

longitude, covering about 5540 km<sup>2</sup> area. A total of 19 genotypes were raised in a Randomized Block Design with two replications. The nursery was raised during first week of April and the seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 45 cm  $\times$  30 cm in a row of 1.62 m<sup>2</sup> experimental plot during third week of May. Each row consisted of 12 plants, of which five competitive plants were selected at random for recording the observations. plant height (cm), plant spread (cm<sup>2</sup>), plant stem girth (cm)number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, number of tertiary branches, total number of branches, days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, number of fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), average fruitweight (g), fresh fruit vield per plant (g), fresh fruit vield per plot (kg), fresh fruit vield per hectare (g/ha), ascorbic acid content (mg/100g) (Anonymous., 1975), chlorophyll 'a' content, chlorophyll 'b' content (Yashida et al. (1972), pericarp thickness (mm), average dry fruit weight (g), dry fruit yield per plant (g), number of seed per fruit, seed weight per fruit (g) and capsaicin content (%) Sadashivam and Manikkam (1996).The crop was raised as per the recommended package of practices.

Analysis of variance was carried out as per the procedure given by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients of variability were estimated according to the Burton and Devane (1953) by using the following formulae.

 $GCV(\%) = \frac{\sqrt{Genotypic Variance(Vg)}}{General mean of population (x) \times 100}$ 

$$PCV(\%) = \frac{\sqrt{Phenotypic variance(Vp)}}{General mean of population(x) \times 100}$$

PCV and GCV were classified as per Robinson et al. (1949).

| 0-10%         | - Low      |
|---------------|------------|
| 10-20%        | - Moderate |
| 20% and above | - High     |

Heritability in broad sense was calculated by the formula as suggested by Allard (1960).

Heritability(%) =  $\frac{Vg}{Vp} \times 100$ 

Where,

| Vg     | =         | Genotypic variance $[Vg = (Mg - Me) / r]$ |
|--------|-----------|---|
| Vp     | =         | Phenotypic variance [Vg + Ve]             |
| Horita | hility no | rcentage was categorised as per Robins    |

Heritability percentage was categorised as per Robinson (1966).

| 0-30%         | - | Low      |
|---------------|---|----------|
| 30-60%        | - | Moderate |
| 60% and above | - | High     |

The expected genetic advance (GA) resulting from selection of five per cent superior individuals was worked out as suggested by Allard (1960).

Genetic advance =  $H x \mu p x K$ Where,

| K<br>selection  | =<br>index) | 2.06 (Selection differential at 5 per cent |  |  |  |
|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| μρ  | =           | Phenotypic standard deviation              |  |  |  |
| Н   | =           | Heritability in broad sense                |  |  |  |
| Genetic gain expressed as per cent ratio of genetic advance |             |  |  |  |  |

and population mean was calculated by the method given by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

| Cenetic gain(%) –  |         | Genetic advance                                |
|--------------------|---------|--|
| Genetic gain( %) – | General | mean of population $\overline{(x)} \times 100$ |

The genetic advance as per cent mean was categorised as suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

| 0-10%         | - Low      |
|---------------|------------|
| 10-20%        | - Moderate |
| 20% and above | - High     |

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analysis of variance (Table 2) revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all the traits indicating presence of significant variability in the genotypes which can be exploited through selection. These findings are in line with earlier reports Janaki *et al.* (2015), Kadwey *et al.* (2015), Pandiyaraj *et al.* (2016), Patel *et al.* (2015), Sharma and Sridevi (2016). The extent of variability with respect to 19 characters in different genotypes measured in terms of mean, range, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) along with the amount of heritability (h<sup>2</sup>), expected genetic advance and genetic advance as per cent of mean (GAM) are presented in Table 3.

The mean performances of genotypes (Table 3) for different traits indicated that the high range of variability was recorded for fresh fruit yield per plant (33.06-214.48g), followed by number of seeds per plant (31.00-115.00), Ascorbic acid content (mg) (11.86-179.20). Relatively low range of variability was recorded in capsaicin content (0.09-0.11%) followed by plant stem girth (2.27-3.30), number of primary branches



Where: GCV - genotypic coefficient of variation, PCV - phenotypic coefficient of variation, h<sup>2</sup>(b) - heritability in broadsense, GA - genetic advance and GAM - genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM)

Figure 1: Values of GCV, PCV, h  $^{\rm 2}$  (broad sense) and GAM for 24 different characters in chilli.

Table 1: List of chilli genotypes used in the experiment and their source

| Treatment       | Genotypes                    | Source          |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Τ,              | Bydagi dabbi                 | Karnataka       |
| Τ,              | Bydagi kaddi                 | Karnataka       |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | Sankeswar                    | Uttarakhand     |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | Hill local                   | Uttarakhand     |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | Kandhamullaku chilli (SMALL) | Kerala          |
| T               | Ranichauri local             | Uttarakhand     |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | Madhya Pradesh local         | Madhya Pradesh  |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | Bharsar local-1              | Uttarakhand     |
| T               | Nainital local               | Uttarakhand     |
| T <sub>10</sub> | Pant nagar local             | Uttarakhand     |
| T <sub>11</sub> | Banvasi local                | Karnataka       |
| T <sub>12</sub> | Arka lohit                   | IIHR, Bengaluru |
| T <sub>13</sub> | Pant C-1*                    | Uttarakhand     |
| T <sub>14</sub> | Pusa sadabahar               | IARI, New Delhi |
| T <sub>15</sub> | Varadha                      | Uttarakhand     |
| T <sub>16</sub> | Lakhori                      | Uttarakhand     |
| T <sub>17</sub> | Kandhamullaku chilli (LARGE) | Kerala          |
| T <sub>18</sub> | Arka suphal                  | IIHR, Bengaluru |
| T <sub>19</sub> | Bharsar local-2              | Uttarakhand     |

\*- Check cultivar

|  | Table 2: Ana | lysis of variance | for different | characters in | chilli (Capsicul | <i>n annuum</i> L. |
|--|--------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|
|--|--------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|

4.30), capsaicin content (3.20 and 4.18) indicating the existence of wide range of genetic variability in the germplasm for these traits. This also indicates broad genetic base, less environmental influence and these traits are under the control of additive gene effects and hence, there is a good scope for further improvement of these characters through simple selection. These findings arein agreement with results of Aijappalavara and Channagoudra (2009), Jadhav et al. (2004) for days to first flowering and days to 50% flowering, Kumar et al. (2003) for capsaicin content. The estimates of PCV and GCV were moderate and high for plant height (11.94 and 20.28), number of primary branches (16.36 and 20.16) and plant stem girth (18.79 and 23.77). These results are in conformity with findings of earlier works of Kumar et al. (2010), Munshi et al. (2010) for no. of primary branches per plant and Singh and Singh (2012) for plant height and plant stem girth.

High heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for all the traits studied except plant height, plant stem girth, number of primary branches, days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, capsaicin

|     | inarysis of variance for unreferit characters | in chini (Capsicum annuum | L.         |          |
|-----|---|---------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1.  | Plant height (cm)                             | 484                       | 158.46**   | 61.17    |
| 2.  | Plant spread (cm <sup>2</sup> )               | 11.47                     | 176.25**   | 18.48    |
| 3.  | Plant stem girth (cm)                         | 0.107                     | 0.247**    | 0.03     |
| 4.  | Number of primary branches                    | 0.102                     | 1.102**    | 0.162    |
| 5.  | Number of secondary branches                  | 0.008                     | 2.110**    | 0.258    |
| 6.  | Number of tertiary branches                   | 1.452                     | 32.90**    | 2.257    |
| 7.  | Total number of branches                      | 2.535                     | 33.568**   | 5.603    |
| 9.  | Days to 50% flowering                         | 2.181                     | 8.611**    | 1.832    |
| 10. | Number of fruits per plant                    | 6.906                     | 274.052**  | 14.13    |
| 11. | Fruit length(mm)                              | 0.545                     | 1683.425** | 28.62    |
| 12. | Average fresh fruit weight (g)                | 0.042                     | 2.855**    | 0.148    |
| 13. | Fresh fruit yield/ Plant (grams)              | 87.129                    | 7739.002** | 125.37   |
| 14. | Fresh fruit Yield/ Plot(kg)                   | 0.010                     | 1.108**    | 0.018    |
| 15. | Fresh fruit yield Q/ha                        | 116.87                    | 4248.562** | 242.806  |
| 16. | Ascorbic acid content (mg)                    | 4.2402                    | 7444.588** | 56.500   |
| 17. | Chlorophyll 'a' content                       | 0.0002                    | 0.006**    | 0.0003   |
| 18. | Chlorophyll 'b' content                       | 0.0003                    | 0.0185**   | 0.0005   |
| 19. | Pericarp Thickness (mm)                       | 0.107                     | 1.260**    | 0.0579   |
| 20. | Average Dry Fruit Weight (g)                  | 0.026                     | 0.903**    | 0.044    |
| 21  | Dry Fruit Yield per Plant (g)                 | 79.68                     | 122773**   | 2762     |
| 22  | Number of seeds per fruit                     | 6.33                      | 1106.66**  | 32.59    |
| 23  | Seed weight per fruit (g)                     | 0.232                     | 0.589**    | 0.103    |
| 24  | Capsaicin (%)                                 | 0.000004                  | 0.000004** | 0.000008 |

-highly significan

(2.33-4.66), number of secondary branches (2.40-5.86) and days to 50% flowering (44.40-50.46) and these findings are in accordance with those of Sharma and Sridevi (2016), Jogi et al. (2015).

The genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was higher than the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) for all the characters (Table 3) and the difference between GCV and PCV was narrow indicating the little influence of environment on the expression of these characters and considerable amount of variation was observed for all the characters. The estimates of PCV and GCV were high for almost all the traits studied except for plant stem girth (9.74 and 12.18), days to first flowering (5.10 and 5.84), days to 50% flowering (3.2 and indicating the role of additive and non-additive gene action and further improvement of this character would be easier through mass selection, progeny selection or any modified selection procedure aiming to exploit the additive gene effects rather than simple selection. As reported by Tembhurne et al. (2008), Suryakumari et al. (2010)

The findings indicate that there exists adequate genotypic variation in the genotypes for almost all the traits studied showing high values of PCV, GCV and high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean suggesting predominance of additive gene action and lower influence of environmental factors in the expression of these traits with possibility for improvement through selection.

| Table 3: Estimates of mean, range, | components of variance, | heritability and genet | ic advance for yield | and its component | characters in chilli |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| (Capsicum annuum L.)               |                         |                        |                      |                   |                      |

| Sr.No. | Characters                       | Range        | Mean   | PCV   | GCV   | Heritability<br>(%) | Genetic gain<br>(%) | Genetic<br>Advance<br>as per cent<br>of mean |
|--------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 1.     | Plant height (cm)                | 30.06-61.06  | 47.69  | 11.94 | 20.28 | 34.64               | 14.47               | 6.90   |
| 2.     | Plant spread (cm <sup>2</sup> )  | 23.26-51.46  | 32.53  | 22.28 | 25.91 | 73.99               | 39.49               | 12.84  |
| 3.     | Plant stem girth (cm)            | 2.27-3.30    | 2.70   | 9.74  | 12.18 | 63.87               | 16.03               | 0.43   |
| 4.     | Number of primary branches       | 2.33-4.66    | 3.41   | 16.36 | 20.16 | 65.84               | 27.35               | 0.93   |
| 5.     | Number of secondary branches     | 2.40-5.86    | 3.32   | 23.59 | 28.10 | 70.46               | 40.79               | 1.35   |
| 6.     | Number of tertiary branches      | 6.26-16.31   | 10.16  | 31.45 | 34.75 | 81.9                | 58.63               | 5.95   |
| 7.     | Total number of branches         | 12.33-22.20  | 16.24  | 18.79 | 23.77 | 62.46               | 30.59               | 4.97   |
| 8.     | Days to first flowering          | 30.73-39.80  | 33.71  | 5.10  | 5.84  | 76.24               | 9.17                | 3.09   |
| 9.     | Days to 50% flowering            | 44.40-50.46  | 46.97  | 3.2   | 4.30  | 55.23               | 4.89                | 2.30   |
| 10.    | Number of fruits per plant       | 17.06-50.40  | 29.76  | 31.27 | 33.73 | 85.97               | 59.73               | 17.77  |
| 11.    | Fruit length (mm)                | 59.14-132.03 | 88.54  | 26.52 | 27.20 | 95.07               | 53.27               | 47.17  |
| 12.    | Average fresh fruit weight (g)   | 1.70-5.08    | 3.00   | 31.64 | 34.15 | 85.87               | 60.41               | 1.81   |
| 13.    | Fresh fruit yield/ Plant (grams) | 33.06-214.48 | 104.44 | 48.23 | 49.41 | 95.29               | 96.99               | 101.30                                       |
| 14.    | Fresh fruit Yield/ Plot (kg)     | 0.39-2.57    | 1.24   | 48.26 | 49.44 | 95.28               | 97.04               | 1.21   |
| 15.    | Fresh fruit yield Q/ha           | 24.27-158.63 | 76.56  | 47.72 | 51.88 | 84.61               | 90.43               | 69.24  |
| 16.    | Ascorbic acid content (mg)       | 11.86-179.20 | 66.20  | 74.96 | 75.81 | 97.76               | 152.68              | 101.07                                       |
| 17.    | Chlorophyll 'a' content          | 0.05-0.21    | 0.14   | 30.36 | 32.84 | 85.46               | 57.82               | 0.08   |
| 18.    | Chlorophyll 'b' content          | 0.05-0.31    | 0.16   | 46.04 | 48.10 | 91.61               | 90.77               | 0.15   |
| 19.    | Pericarp Thickness (mm)          | 0.56-2.60    | 1.51   | 41.87 | 44.79 | 87.37               | 80.62               | 1.21   |
| 20.    | Average Dry Fruit Weight (g)     | 0.84-3.03    | 1.62   | 32.86 | 35.30 | 86.64               | 63.01               | 1.02   |
| 21     | Dry Fruit Yield per Plant (g)    | 20.41-85.38  | 49.03  | 40.78 | 42.16 | 93.54               | 81.25               | 39.84  |
| 22     | Number of seeds per fruit        | 31.00-115.00 | 69.29  | 27.30 | 28.52 | 91.66               | 53.84               | 37.31  |
| 23     | Seed weight per fruit (g)        | 1.19-2.65    | 1.92   | 20.90 | 26.76 | 61.03               | 33.64               | 0.64   |
| 24     | Capsaicin (%) content            | 0.09-0.11    | 0.11   | 3.20  | 4.18  | 58.69               | 5.05                | 0.00   |

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my deep sense of reverence and gratitude to Dr. Satish Chandra Pant, Prof. B.P. Nautiyal and also for the University for their kind Support during my research work.

#### REFERENCES

Ajjapplavara, P. S. and Channagoudra, R. F. 2009. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Asian J. Horticulture. 4(1): 99-101.

Allard, R. W. 1960. Principles of Plant Breeding .J. Wiley and Sons, London. pp. 83-88.

**Anonymous. 1975.** Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), *Washington DC, 12<sup>th</sup> Ed.* PP.554-829.

Bhattacharya, A., Chattopadhyay, A., Mazumdar, D., Chakravarty, A. and Pal, S. 2010. Antioxidant constituents and enzyme activities in chilli peppers. *International J. Vegetable Science*. **16**: 201-211.

Bosland, P. W. and Votava, E. J. 2000. Peppers: Vegetable and spice capsicums. *CABI Publishing, CAB International, Walingfort, U.K.* 

**Burton, G. W. and Devane, E. H. 1953.** Estimating the heritability in tall fescue (*Festucaarundinancea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy J.* **45:** 478-481.

**Gadaginmath, N. B. 1992.** Studies related to genetics economic and quality traits and exploitation of heterosis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Ph.D., Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences,* Dharwad.

Jadhav, M. G., Burli, A. V., More, S. M. and Gare, B. N. 2004. Studies on variability and genotype x environment interaction in chilli.*J. Maharashtra Agricultural Universities.* **29(3):** 337-338.

Janaki, M., Naidu, L. N., Ramana, C. V. and Rao, M. P. 2015. Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in chilli (*capsicum annuum* l.). *The Bioscan*. **10(2)**: 729 -733.

Jogi, M. Y., Madalageri, M. B., Pujari, R. J. and Mallimar, M. S.2015. Genetic variability studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* I.) for yield and quality attributes. Indian J. Ecology.42(2): 536 -539.

Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soyabean. *Agronomy J.* 47: 314 - 318.

Kadwey, S., Dadiga, A. and Prajapati, S. 2015. Genotypes performance and genetic variability studies in hot chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Indian J. Agricultural Research*. 10: 56-60.

Krishna, U. C., Madalageri, M. B., Patil, M. P., Ravindra, M. and Kotlkal, Y. K. 2007. Variability Studies in Green Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Karnataka J. Agricultural Sciences*. 20: 102-104.

Kumar, B. K., Munshi, A. D., Joshi, S. and Kaur, C. 2003. Note on evaluation of chilli (Capsicum annuum I.) genotypes for biochemical constituents. *Capsicum and Eggplant newsletter*. (22): 41-42.

Kumar, S., Rattan, P., Sharma, J. P. and Gupta, R. K. 2010. Genetic variation and inter-relationship studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *J. Research.* 9(1): 132-136.

Munshi, A. D., Kumar, B. K., Sureja, A. K. and Joshi, S. 2010. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and quality traits in chilli. *Indian J. Horticulture*.**67:** 114-116.

National Horticulture Board 2016. Data Base of Horticultural crops. Gurgaon, New Delhi.

Pandiyaraj, P., Kumar, S. V., Elangaivendhan, A. and Nagaraju, K. 2016.Genetic analysis in chilli germplasm for qualitative traits. *Progressive Research–An International J.*11(7): 5073-5075.

Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1985. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. *Indian Council Agr.Res.*, New Delhi.

Patel, D. K., Patel, R., Patel, J. R. and Kuchhadiya, G. V. 2015. Genetic variability and character association studies for green fruit yield and quality component traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* var. *Longum*(Dc.) Sendt.). *Electronic J. Plant Breeding.* **6**(2): 472-478.

Robinson, H. F. 1966. Quantitative genetics in relation to breeding on centennial of mendelism. *Indian J. Genetics and Plant Breeding*. 26A: 171-177.

Robinson, H. F., Comstock, R. E. and Harvey, V. H. 1949. Estimates

of heritability and degree of dominance in corn. *Agronomy J.***41:** 353-359.

Sadashivam S and Manikkam A. 1996. Biochemical Methods. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., New Age International (P) Ltd. Publishers. P.200.

Sharma, M. and Sridevi, O. 2016. Genetic variation and character association analysis in chilli. (*Capsicum annuum* L.). The Bioscan. **11(3)**: 1675-1678.

Singh, R. K. and Singh, D. B. 2012. Genetic variability and characters association in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* I). *SAARC J. Agriculture*.10(1): 71-80.

Suryakumari, S., Jyothi, U. K., Srihari, D., Sankar, A. S. and Sankar,

**C. R. 2010.** Variability and genetic divergence in paprika (*Capsicum annuum* L.).*J. Spices and Aromatic Crops.* **19:** 71-75.

Tembhurne, B. V., Revanappa, R. and Kuchanur, P. H. 2008. Varietal performance, genetic variability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Karnataka J. Agricultural Sciences*. **21:** 541-543.

Vavilov, N. I. 1951. Origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. *Chronol. Bot.* 13: 4-364.

Yashida, S. D., Forna, J. H., Cook, J and Gomez, K. H. 1972. Laboratory Manual for Physiological Studies of Rice. *IARI*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Los Bobnos, Philippines.